**Private & Confidential** 



# **FACULTY OF BUSINESS**

#### **FINAL EXAMINATION**

			1	1	r	1	1	1	1					
Student ID (in Figures)	:													
Student ID (in Words)	:													
Course Code & Name	:	LAV	<b>V152</b> 3	B LEG	AL AS	PECT	FOR I	HOSP	ITALI	<b>FY &amp;</b> <sup>-</sup>	TOUR	ISM		
Trimester & Year	:	May	/ - Au	gust 2	2023									
Lecturer/Examiner	:	Ms	Amali	ina M	ustaf	fa								
Duration	:	3 Ho	ours											

#### **INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES**

1.	This question paper consists of:							
	Part A: 60 marks	:	SIX (6) structured questions. Answer ALL questions.					
	Part B : 40 marks	:	THREE (3) Essay questions. Answer only TWO (2) questions.					
	All answers must be written in the answer booklet(s) provided using ENGLISH LANGUAGE							
	only.							

- 2. Candidates are not allowed to bring any unauthorized materials except writing equipment into the Examination Hall. Electronic dictionaries are strictly prohibited.
- 3. This question paper must be submitted along with all used and/or unused rough papers and/or graph paper (if any). Candidates are NOT allowed to take any examination materials out of the examination hall.
- 4. Only ballpoint pens are allowed to be used in answering the questions, with the exception of multiple choice questions, where 2B pencils are to be used.
- WARNING:The University Examination Board (UEB) of BERJAYA University College regards<br/>cheating as a most serious offence and will not hesitate to mete out the appropriate<br/>punitive actions according to the severity of the offence committed, and in<br/>accordance with the clauses stipulated in the Students' Handbook, up to and<br/>including expulsion from BERJAYA University College.

Total Number of pages = 6 (including the cover page)

#### PART A : SIX (6) STRUCTURED QUESTIONS (SHORT ANSWERS)

#### **INSTRUCTION(S)** : ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS.

#### **Question 1**

Sasha received a book by post with a note said that unless book was returned within fourteen days, it would be assumed that the recipient had bought the book for RM45.00. Advise Sasha.

(5 marks)

#### Question 2

Explain the effects of Section 21(1) of the Companies Act 2016.	(6 marks)
---	-----------

#### Question 3

In a step-by-step approach, explain **Section 4 of the Innkeepers Act 1952** as regards to items deposited with the front desk for custody. (7 marks)

#### **Question 4**

Julie was reading a newspaper and saw an advertisement below:



When she called, she was told that the tour packages were all sold out. Julie was furious and insisted that Vis Travel honour their promise. Advise Julie. (10 marks)

### Question 5

Madam Zie is a guest in Hotel May Wah. She was trying to close the window of the room when the window glass broken and injured her arm. The adhesive around the window pane was old and decayed, a defect that could have easily been ascertained by reasonable inspection. Discuss as to the liability of the hotel. (12 marks)

#### Question 6

#### State the applicable cancelation charges and / or refund in the following circumstances.

- a. Joy bought a tour package to Sydney from Magic Holidays for RM5,000.00. The departure date was 3 May. On 14 April she e-mail Magic Holidays to cancel her trip. (5 marks)
- b. Johnny booked a holiday to Hanoi for RM3,000.00 from Cheap Travels. His holiday was not a package tour as Johnny is adventurous and wanted to explore the city of Hanoi on his own. The departure date is on 1 July. On 1 June, he contacted Cheap Travels to cancel the booking.

(5 marks)

- c. Anita booked a package tour to Japan for RM10,000.00 from Neko Holidays. The date of departure is 17 January. Two days before departure, Neko Holidays called Anita and informed her about the tour was cancelled.
  (5 marks)
- d. Melissa bought a holiday to Türkiye for RM15,000.00 from Golden Holidays. The departure date was 23 March 2023. In January 2023, Melissa was promoted by her employer as a Regional Manager and she became busier than ever. She could not possibly take time out to go on a holiday. She was so busy with her work and have forgotten to cancel the trip until five days before the departure date. (5 marks)

#### **END OF PART A**

#### Question 1

An innkeeper has a duty to provide accommodation for any "fit to received" traveler without prior contract to any traveler who seeks accommodation. However, this duty is not absolute. Explain the following:

- a. The meaning of "fit to received" traveler;
- b. Situations which an innkeeper may refuse or exclude to accommodate a traveler; and
- c. Duty to provide service in a non-discriminatory manner.

(20 marks)

#### Question 2

Explain whether Joe is required by the law of contract to fulfil his promise in the following situations. You must support your answer with related provisions in the act as well as case(s).

- i. Joe promise to sell an expensive car to Tom for RM10.00.
- ii. Joe returns home and finds that Jack had cleaned his house and promised to pay RM50.00 for his work.
- iii. Joe promise to release Jim from a debt of RM500.00 if Jim pays him RM350.00.
- iv. Joe promised to take his son to a movie if he does his homework.

(20 marks)

#### Question 3

Boboy is a licensed tourist guide. He had worked for Mountain Holidays (MH) in Tioman Island for 3 years and 8 months. By May 2023, five serious complains had been filed against him by clients. The complained among other stated that Boboy was rude, unhelpful, selfish and dishonest. Just last week, a group of tour members complained to MH that at one destination, Boboy told the tour members to return to the bus at 2.00 pm but he did not appear until 3.30 pm. The itinerary was upset due to Boboy's lateness. MH has given Boboy one month's notice of termination but the employment contract was silent on this matter. Boboy was furious and seek your advice.

(20 marks)

END OF EXAM

### APPENDIX (1) CASE LIST

# Α

Aspatra Sdn. Bhd. v Bank Bumiputra Malaysia [1988]MLJ 97

## В

Brett v JS & His Wife(1600) 79 ER 9 & 7 Brown B Brant [1902] 1 KB 696

# С

Carlill v Carbolic Smoke Ball Co [1893] 1 QB 256 Charles Grenier Sdn Bhd v Lau Wing Hong [1996] 3 MLJ 327

Chappell & Co Ltd v Nestle Co Ltd [1960] Choo Tiong Hin & Ors Choo Hock Swee [1959] MLI 67

Chia Foon Tau v Lim Pey Lin [1998] 7 MLJ 762 Collins v Hertfordshire County Council [1947] KB 598

Constantine v Imperial London Hotels Ltd [1944] 2 ALL ER 171

# D

Daiman Development Sdn Bhd v Mathew Lui Chin Tech & Anor Appeal [1978] 2 MLJ 239 FC Daimler Co. Ltd. v Continental Tyre & Rubber Co. (GB) Ltd. [1916] 2 AC 307 DHN Food Distributors Ltd. v Tower Hamlets London Borough Council [1976]3AIIER462 Donoghue v Stevenson (1932) A.C. 562

## Ε

Eckhardt Marine GMBH v Sheriff High Court of Malaya, Seremban & Ors [2001] 4MLJ 49 Entores Ltd [1955] 2 QB 327

## F

Felthouse v Bindley [1862] 10 WLR 423.

## G

Gibbons v Proctor (1891) 64 LT 594 Gibson v Manchester City Council [1979] 1 All ER 972 Gilford Motors Co. v Horne [1933] Ch. 935 Gov. of Malaysia v Gurcharan Singh & Ors [1971] 1 MLJ 211

### L

Lee Chin Kok v Jasmin Arunthuthu Allegakoen & Ors [2000] 4 MLJ 481 Lim Chia Min v Cheah Sang Ngeow & Anor Loh Kwan Moi & Ors v Ramli bin Jamil & Ors & Government of Malaysia (1984) 1 MLJ 46

### Μ

Murugesu v Nadarajah [1980] 2 MLJ 82 Mohori Bibee v Dhurmodas Ghose [1903] LLR 30 Cal. 539

### Ν

Nash v Inman [1908] 2 KB 1

## Ρ

Pinnel's case (1602) 77 ER 237 Phang Swee Kim v Beh I Hock [1964] 383 Preston Corporation Sdn Bhd v Edward Leong & Ors [1982] 2 MLJ22

## Q

Queck Poh Guan (as administrator of The Estate of Sit Kim Boo, deceased) v Quick Awang [1998] 3 MLJ 388

## R

R v Clarke (1927) 40 CLR 227 Raffles v Wichelhaus (1864) 2 Hurl & C 906 Re Bugle Press Ltd. [1961] Ch.270 Re Tan Soh Sim & Ors v Tan Saw Keow [1951] MLJ 21 Rothfield v North British Hotel [1920] SC805

## S

Salomon v A. Salomon & Co. Ltd [1897] AC 22 Sathu v Hawthornden Rubber Estate Co Ltd (1961) MLJ 318 Smith, Stone & Knight Ltd. v Birmingham Corporation [1939]4 AIIER 116

## т

Tan Hee Juan v The Boon Keat [1934] MLJ 96 Tinn v Hoffman Co. [1873] 29 LT 271 Tesco Supermarkets Ltd. v Nattrass [1972] AC 153 Trollope & Colls Ltd v Atomic Power

### Н

Ho Kam Phaw v Fam Sin Nin [1998] 3 CLJ 708 Hotel Jaya Puri Bhd. v National Union or Hotel, Bar and Restaurant [1980] 1 MLJ 109

J

Jones v Lipman [1962] 1 WLR 832

## К

Kam Mah Theatre Sdn Bhd v Tan Lay Soon Kepong Prospecting Ltd v Schmidt [1968] 1 MLJ 170 Kerpu Singh v Bariam Singh [1966] 1 MLJ 38 Constructions Ltd [1962] 3 All ER 1035

### W

Weatherby v Banham (1832) 5 C & P 228 Williams v Cawardine [1833] EWHC KB J44 Woon Yoke Lin v. United Estate Projects Berhad [1998] 4 AMR 4052